



#### 2014 COMMUNITY-BASED MONITORING SYSTEM (CBMS) 2nd ROUND SURVEY

## HOUSEHOLDS ENGAGED IN AGRICULTURE, BY PUROK

Purok	Number of households —	Households engaged in agriculture*	
Purok		Magnitude*	Proportion**
Bangbang	235	86	36.6
Anahaw	38	12	31.58
Bougainvilla	36	17	47.22
Daisy	25	10	40
Palmera	36	12	33.33
Sampaguita	38	13	34.21
San Francisco	29	10	34.48
Santa Ana	33	12	36.36

<sup>\*</sup>Households engaged in agriculture

<sup>\*\*</sup>Number of households engaged in agriculture over total number of households





#### 2014 COMMUNITY-BASED MONITORING SYSTEM (CBMS) 2nd ROUND SURVEY

# HOUSEHOLDS IN AGRICULTURE WITH DECREASED CROP HARVESTED, BY PUROK

Purok	Number of households	Households in agriculture with decreased crop harvested*	
	engaged in agriculture	Magnitude*	Proportion**
Bangbang	86	22	25.58
Anahaw	12	0	0
Bougainvilla	17	7	41.18
Daisy	10	3	30
Palmera	12	2	16.67
Sampaguita	13	4	30.77
San Francisco	10	2	20
Santa Ana	12	4	33.33

<sup>\*</sup>Households in agriculture with decreased crop harvested

<sup>\*\*</sup>Number of households in agriculture with decreased crop harvested over number households engaged in agriculture





#### 2014 COMMUNITY-BASED MONITORING SYSTEM (CBMS) 2nd ROUND SURVEY

#### **REASON FOR LOW HARVEST**

Barangay		Number of households in agriculture with decreased crop harvested	Reason for low harvest*	
Darangay	Magnitude*		Proportion**	
Bangbang		22		
	Increase in cost of farm inputs such as seeds, fertilizer, pesticides, etc.		4	18.18
	Affected by drought		2	9.09
	Affected by typhoon		3	13.64
	Affected by flood		0	0
	Affected by pests		6	27.27
	Decrease in supply of water from the irrigation		2	9.09
	Change in primary occupation of member		0	0
	Others, Specify		5	22.73

<sup>\*</sup>Reason for low harvest

<sup>\*\*</sup>Number of households by reason for low harvest over number of households in agriculture with decreased crop harvested





#### 2014 COMMUNITY-BASED MONITORING SYSTEM (CBMS) 2nd ROUND SURVEY

## HOUSEHOLDS ENGAGED IN LIVESTOCK RAISING, BY PUROK

Directi	Newstran of the constraints	Households engaged in livestock raising*	
Purok	Number of households	Magnitude*	Proportion**
Bangbang	235	38	16.17
Anahaw	38	0	0
Bougainvilla	36	10	27.78
Daisy	25	3	12
Palmera	36	6	16.67
Sampaguita	38	5	13.16
San Francisco	29	1	3.45
Santa Ana	33	13	39.39

<sup>\*</sup>Households engaged in livestock raising

<sup>\*\*</sup>Number of households engaged in agriculture over total number of households





#### 2014 COMMUNITY-BASED MONITORING SYSTEM (CBMS) 2nd ROUND SURVEY

# HOUSEHOLDS IN LIVESTOCK RAISING WITH DECREASED LIVESTOCK RAISED, BY PUROK

Purok	Number of Households engaged in livestock	Households in agriculture with decreased livestock raised*	
	raising	Magnitude*	Proportion**
Bangbang	38	5	13.16
Anahaw	0	0	0
Bougainvilla	10	1	10
Daisy	3	0	0
Palmera	6	1	16.67
Sampaguita	5	0	0
San Francisco	1	1	100
Santa Ana	13	2	15.38

<sup>\*</sup>Households in livestock raising with decreased livestock raised

<sup>\*\*</sup>Number of households in agriculture with decreased livestock raised over number Households engaged in livestock raising





#### 2014 COMMUNITY-BASED MONITORING SYSTEM (CBMS) 2nd ROUND SURVEY

### **REASON FOR LOW LIVESTOCK RAISED**

Barangay		Number of households in agriculture with	Reason for low livestock raised*	
	Zarangay	decreased livestock raised	Magnitude*	Proportion**
Bangbang		5		
	Increase in cost of farm inputs (feeds,chicks, etc.)		1	20
	Stricken with diseases (swine flu, bird flu, foot and mouth disease, etc.)		3	60
	Decrease in land holding		0	0
	Affected by typhoon		0	0
	Affected by flood		1	20
	Affected by extreme hot weather condition		0	0
	Others, specify		0	0

<sup>\*</sup>Reason for low livestock raised

<sup>\*\*</sup>Number of households by reason for low livestock raised over number of households in agriculture with decreased livestock raised





#### 2014 COMMUNITY-BASED MONITORING SYSTEM (CBMS) 2nd ROUND SURVEY

## HOUSEHOLDS ENGAGED IN FISHING, BY PUROK

Purok	Number of households	Households engaged in fishing*	
Purok		Magnitude*	Proportion**
Bangbang	235	0	0
Anahaw	38	0	0
Bougainvilla	36	0	0
Daisy	25	0	0
Palmera	36	0	0
Sampaguita	38	0	0
San Francisco	29	0	0
Santa Ana	33	0	0

<sup>\*</sup>Households engaged in fishing

<sup>\*\*</sup>Number of households engaged in fishing over total number of households





#### 2014 COMMUNITY-BASED MONITORING SYSTEM (CBMS) 2nd ROUND SURVEY

### HOUSEHOLDS IN FISHING WITH DECREASED FISH CATCH, BY PUROK

Purok	Number of Households	Households in fishing with decreased fish catch*	
	engaged in fishing	Magnitude*	Proportion**
Bangbang	0	0	0
Anahaw	0	0	0
Bougainvilla	0	0	0
Daisy	0	0	0
Palmera	0	0	0
Sampaguita	0	0	0
San Francisco	0	0	0
Santa Ana	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup>Households in fishing with decreased fish catch

<sup>\*\*</sup>Number of Households in fishing with decreased fish catch over number Households engaged in fishing





#### 2014 COMMUNITY-BASED MONITORING SYSTEM (CBMS) 2nd ROUND SURVEY

#### **REASON FOR LOW FISH CATCH**

Barangay	Number of Households in fishing with decreased fish catch	Reason for low fish catch*	
Darangay		Magnitude*	Proportion**
Bangbang	0		
Decrease in fishing area due to government restrictions		0	0
Decrease in fishing area due to competition		0	0
Fewer fishes		0	0
Occurrence of coral bleaching		0	0
Lessened the number of times fishing because of the increase in fuel prices		0	0
Occurrence of oil spill and other pollution		0	0
Fishkill		0	0
Frequent occurrence of typhoons		0	0
Others, specify		0	0

<sup>\*</sup>Reason for low fish catch

<sup>\*\*</sup>Number of households by reason for low fish catch over number of Households in fishing with decreased fish catch